JDEBM
Journal of Digital Engineering and Business Managemet

Volume-2, Issue-1, January-March 2026
ISSN: 3107-7897 | P.ID: JDEBM/10
DOI:https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19082618

AI - BASED RISK MANAGEMENT IN BANKING AND FINANCIAL SERVICES

[#1]Mr. Anil Bonala, Research Scholar
Department of Commerce, Satavahana University, Karimnagar
Mail: anilbonala404@gmail.com, Cell: 9652945404

[#2]Dr. D.Harikanth ,Assistant Professor,
Head Department of Commerce, Satavahana University, Karimnagar
Mail: harikanth.d@gmail.com, Cell: 9848294022

Abstract: Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rapidly transforming risk management practices in the banking and financial services sector by enabling predictive analytics, real-time monitoring, fraud detection, and intelligent decision-support systems. Traditional risk management frameworks in banking relied heavily on historical data, manual assessment, and rule-based models, which often proved insufficient in dynamic financial environments characterized by cyber threats, credit volatility, and regulatory complexity. The integration of AI technologies—including machine learning, deep learning, and big-data analytics—has significantly improved the accuracy, speed, and reliability of financial risk assessment. This empirical study investigates the impact of AI-based risk management practices on risk mitigation effectiveness and financial performance within banking and financial institutions. Primary data were collected from 100 banking professionals using a structured Likert-scale questionnaire measuring AI Risk Analytics Adoption (AIRA), Risk Management Efficiency (RME), and Financial Performance Stability (FPS). Statistical techniques such as descriptive analysis, reliability testing, correlation, regression, and ANOVA were applied. The results reveal a strong positive relationship between AI adoption and both risk management efficiency and financial performance stability. Regression analysis indicates that AI-based risk analytics significantly explain variations in institutional stability and operational resilience. However, concerns regarding cybersecurity, data governance, regulatory compliance, and ethical AI usage remain critical challenges. The study contributes empirical evidence to the literature on digital financial transformation and intelligent risk governance, offering actionable implications for banks, regulators, and policymakers seeking resilient and technology-enabled financial systems.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Banking Risk Management, Financial Stability, Fraud Detection, Digital Banking, FinTech.

1. INTRODUCTION:

The banking and financial services industry is undergoing profound digital transformation driven by artificial intelligence, big-data analytics, blockchain, and cloud computing. Among these technologies, AI plays a crucial role in risk identification, prediction, and

JDEBM
Journal of Digital Engineering and Business Managemet
Volume-2, Issue-1, January-March 2026
ISSN: 3107-7897 | P.ID: JDEBM/10
DOI:https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19082618

mitigation, enabling banks to manage credit risk, operational risk, market risk, liquidity risk, and cyber risk more effectively.

Traditional banking risk management depended on statistical scoring models and manual compliance processes. These approaches struggled to detect complex fraud patterns, high-frequency cyber threats, and rapid market fluctuations. AI-enabled systems now analyze massive datasets in real time, allowing financial institutions to anticipate defaults, detect suspicious transactions, and maintain regulatory compliance with improved precision.

Simultaneously, global financial stability increasingly depends on resilient risk governance. Regulatory frameworks such as Basel norms emphasize capital adequacy, stress testing, and transparency. AI strengthens these mechanisms by improving forecasting accuracy and scenario simulation. However, AI adoption also introduces new concerns, including algorithmic bias, model opacity, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, and ethical governance challenges.

Therefore, an empirical examination of AI-based risk management in banking is essential for understanding its role in enhancing institutional stability and sustainable financial performance.

2. Review of Literature:

The growing integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into banking and financial services has generated significant academic interest, particularly in the areas of credit risk prediction, fraud detection, operational resilience, and regulatory compliance**.** Early research demonstrated that machine-learning algorithms outperform traditional statistical credit-scoring models in predicting borrower default probabilities, thereby improving portfolio quality and reducing non-performing assets (Khandani, Kim, & Lo, 2010). Subsequent studies confirmed that AI-driven analytics enhance predictive accuracy by processing large-scale, high-dimensional financial datasets in real time (Jordan & Mitchell, 2015).

From a strategic perspective, digital transformation literature suggests that AI capabilities contribute to organizational efficiency, customer trust, and long-term competitiveness within financial institutions (Gomber, Koch, & Siering, 2017; Verhoef et al., 2021). Similarly, big-data analytics and AI adoption have been empirically linked to improved firm performance and decision quality across industries, including financial services (Wamba et al., 2017; Mikalef & Gupta, 2021).

Fraud detection and anti-money-laundering (AML) surveillance represent another major research stream. AI-based anomaly-detection systems can identify suspicious transaction patterns more effectively than rule-based monitoring, significantly reducing financial crime risks and compliance costs (Financial Stability Board, 2020). Moreover, predictive analytics supports stress testing, liquidity forecasting, and systemic risk monitoring, strengthening macro-prudential financial stability (OECD, 2022).

Recent scholarship has shifted toward ethical governance, explainability, and regulatory oversight of AI in finance. Studies highlight concerns regarding

JDEBM
Journal of Digital Engineering and Business Management
Volume-2, Issue-1, January-March 2026
ISSN: 3107-7897 | P.ID: JDEBM/10
DOI:https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19082618

algorithmic bias, model opacity, cybersecurity vulnerability, and data-privacy risks, emphasizing the need for responsible AI frameworks and transparent decision systems (Dwivedi et al., 2021; Lauterbach, 2019). The automation–augmentation paradox further explains how AI simultaneously enhances managerial capability while transforming human decision authority within organizations (Raisch & Krakowski, 2021).

Despite these advances, empirical research integrating AI adoption, holistic risk-management efficiency, and financial performance stability—particularly in emerging-economy banking contexts—remains limited. Most prior studies focus on isolated applications such as credit scoring or fraud detection rather than system-level institutional resilience. Accordingly, the present study seeks to bridge this gap by providing empirical evidence on AI-enabled risk governance and sustainable financial stability within banking and financial services.

3. RESEARCH GAP:

Existing literature largely focuses on:

- Credit scoring or fraud detection individually
- Conceptual discussions of AI in finance
- Developed-economy banking systems

Limited empirical studies integrate AI adoption with overall risk efficiency and financial stability in emerging banking environments.

4. Objectives:

1. To evaluate the impact of AI-based risk management on risk management efficiency in banking.
2. To examine the influence of AI adoption on financial performance stability of banking institutions.

5. HYPOTHESES:

H1: AI-based risk analytics positively influence Risk Management Efficiency.
H2: AI-based risk analytics positively influence Financial Performance Stability.

6. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:

- **Design:** Descriptive and analytical survey
- **Sample:** 100 banking and financial professionals
- **Instrument:** 30-item Likert questionnaire
- **Constructs:**
  - AI Risk Analytics Adoption (AIRA)
  - Risk Management Efficiency (RME)
  - Financial Performance Stability (FPS)
- **Reliability:** Cronbach's Alpha = **0.87**
- **Tools:** Descriptive statistics, correlation, regression, ANOVA (SPSS format)

7. DATA ANALYSIS:

7.1 Demographic Profile (n = 100)

Table:1
Demographic Profile

Category	Frequency	%

JDEBM
Journal of Digital Engineering and Business Managemet
Volume-2, Issue-1, January-March 2026
ISSN: 3107-7897 | P.ID: JDEBM/10
DOI:https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19082618

Category	Frequency	%
Male	58	58
Female	42	42
Experience 3–10 yrs	49	49
Public Sector Banks	46	46
Private/FinTech	54	54

Interpretation: Respondents represent diverse banking environments suitable for AI-risk evaluation.

7.2 Reliability Analysis:

Table:2

Reliability Analysis:

Construct	Alpha
AIRA	0.89
RME	0.85
FPS	0.84
Overall	**0.87**

Inference: Instrument shows strong internal consistency.

7.3 Descriptive Statistics:

Table:3

Descriptive Statistics:

Variable	Mean	SD	Level
AIRA	3.95	0.58	High
RME	3.88	0.61	High
FPS	3.76	0.64	Moderate-High

7.4 Correlation Matrix:

Table:4

Correlation Matrix:

Variables	AIRA	RME	FPS
AIRA	1	**0.74**	**0.70**
RME	0.74	1	0.68
FPS	0.70	0.68	1

$p < 0.01$ → Strong positive relationships.

7.5 Regression Analysis:

Model 1: Dependent Variable – Risk Management Efficiency

Table:5

Regression Analysis:

R	R^2	F	Sig
0.74	0.55	118.4	0.000

$\beta = 0.74$ → Significant → H1 Accepted

Model 2: Dependent Variable – Financial Performance Stability:

Table:6

Financial Performance Stability

R	R^2	F	Sig
0.70	0.49	95.6	0.000

$\beta = 0.70$ → Significant → H2 Accepted

8. DISCUSSION:

The findings confirm that AI-based analytics significantly enhance banking risk governance by improving fraud detection, credit monitoring, and operational resilience. AI also contributes to financial stability by enabling predictive stress testing and early-warning systems. However, successful implementation requires regulatory clarity, cybersecurity infrastructure, and ethical AI governance.

9. KEY FINDINGS:

JDEBM
Journal of Digital Engineering and Business Managemet
Volume-2, Issue-1, January-March 2026
ISSN: 3107-7897 | P.ID: JDEBM/10
DOI:https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19082618

- AI adoption strongly improves risk detection and mitigation.
- AI enhances financial stability and operational resilience.
- Reliability and regression confirm statistical significance.
- Governance, cybersecurity, and compliance remain major challenges.

10. MANAGERIAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS

- Invest in AI-driven fraud analytics and credit scoring.
- Strengthen cyber-risk governance frameworks.
- Ensure regulatory compliance and explainable AI models.
- Promote FinTech collaboration and digital banking resilience.

11. CONCLUSION:

AI-based risk management is becoming indispensable for modern banking systems. Empirical evidence demonstrates that AI significantly enhances both risk management efficiency and financial performance stability. As banking environments grow more complex, AI-enabled intelligent governance will determine long-term financial sustainability and resilience. Future research should expand to cross-country banking datasets and longitudinal financial risk modeling.

REFERENCES:

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. (2021). *Principles for operational resilience*. Bank for International Settlements.

Buchanan, B. (2019). Artificial intelligence in finance. *Harvard Kennedy School Belfer Center Working Paper*.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). *Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future*. W. W. Norton.

Bughin, J., Seong, J., Manyika, J., Chui, M., & Joshi, R. (2018). Notes from the AI frontier: Modeling the impact of AI on the world economy. *McKinsey Global Institute*.

Carstens, A. (2018). Big tech in finance and new challenges for public policy. *Bank for International Settlements Working Papers*.

Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. *Harvard Business Review, 96*(1), 108–116.

Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., et al. (2021). Artificial intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Information Management, 57*, 101994.

Dwivedi, Y. K., et al. (2023). Generative AI and the future of work and management. *International Journal of Information Management, 71*, 102642.

Financial Stability Board. (2020). *Artificial intelligence and machine learning in financial services*. FSB Report.

Fuster, A., Goldsmith-Pinkham, P., Ramadorai, T., & Walther, A. (2022). Predictably unequal? The effects of machine learning on credit markets. *Journal of Finance, 77*(1), 5–47.

Gomber, P., Koch, J.-A., & Siering, M. (2017). Digital finance and FinTech: Current research and future directions. *Journal of Business Economics, 87*(5), 537–580.

JDEBM
Journal of Digital Engineering and Business Management
Volume-2, Issue-1, January-March 2026
ISSN: 3107-7897 | P.ID: JDEBM/10
DOI:https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19082618

Haenlein, M., & Kaplan, A. (2019). A brief history of artificial intelligence. *California Management Review, 61*(4), 5–14.

Jarrahi, M. H. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the future of work: Human–AI symbiosis in organizational decision making. *Business Horizons, 61*(4), 577–586.

Jordan, M. I., & Mitchell, T. M. (2015). Machine learning: Trends, perspectives, and prospects. *Science, 349*(6245), 255–260.

Khandani, A. E., Kim, A. J., & Lo, A. W. (2010). Consumer credit-risk models via machine learning. *Journal of Banking & Finance, 34*(11), 2767–2787.

Lauterbach, A. (2019). Artificial intelligence and policy: Quo vadis? *Digital Policy, Regulation and Governance, 21*(3), 238–263.

Mikalef, P., & Gupta, M. (2021). Artificial intelligence capability and firm performance. *Information & Management, 58*(3), 103434.

OECD. (2022). *Artificial intelligence in finance: Opportunities, challenges, and policy implications*. OECD Publishing.

Raisch, S., & Krakowski, S. (2021). Artificial intelligence and management: The automation–augmentation paradox. *Academy of Management Review, 46*(1), 192–210.

Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2021). *Artificial intelligence: A modern approach* (4th ed.). Pearson.

Shrestha, Y. R., Ben-Menahem, S. M., & von Krogh, G. (2019). Organizational decision-making structures in the age of AI. *California Management Review, 61*(4), 66–83.

Tambe, P., Cappelli, P., & Yakubovich, V. (2019). Artificial intelligence in human resources management. *Academy of Management Annals, 13*(2), 491–524.

Verhoef, P. C., Broekhuizen, T., Bart, Y., et al. (2021). Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection. *Journal of Business Research, 122*, 889–901.

Wamba, S. F., Gunasekaran, A., Akter, S., et al. (2017). Big data analytics and firm performance. *Journal of Business Research, 70*, 356–365.

Zhang, C., & Lu, Y. (2021). Study on artificial intelligence adoption and firm innovation performance. *Technological Forecasting & Social Change, 168*, 120784.